CODE REVISER USE ONLY



CR-102 (June 2024) (Implements RCW 34.05.320) Do NOT use for expedited rule making

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: October 01, 2024 TIME: 3:10 PM

WSR 24-20-128

Agency: Board of Registration for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors								
☑ Original Notice								
□ Supplemental Notice to WSR								
□ Continuance of WSR								
Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR; or								
Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR <u>24-16-070</u> ; or								
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or								
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW								
Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) WAC 196-09 Board practices and procedures, WAC								
196-23 Stamping and seals, WAC 196-33-400 Seals and stamps, WAC 196-34-115 Qualifying activities								
Hearing location(s): Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)		Comment:				
		Board of Registration for			rofoccional	Engineero		
November 20, 2024	12:30 pm	Professional Engineers and	lland	The Board of Registration for P and Land Surveyors is holding				
		Surveyors Office 605 11th		the Board's offices in Olympia.		, in porcon at		
		SE Suite 201 Olympia WA		- 5 1				
				The public may also virtually pa	•	•		
		OR		by accessing the hearing link o				
		WebEx meeting		rulemaking page: https://brpels and-rules/rulemaking-activity	.wa.gov/cui	rent-laws-		
Date of intended adoption: December 12, 2024 (Note: This is NOT the effective date)								
Submit written comments to: Assistance for persons with disabilities:								
Name Shanan Gillespie				Contact Mackenzie Wherrett				
Address PO Box 9025 Olympia WA 98507-9025				Phone 360-664-1568				
Email shanan.gillespie@brpels.wa.gov				Fax				
Fax				TTY 711				
Other			Email Mackenzie.wherrett@brpels.wa.gov					
Beginning (date and time) October 2, 2024, 12:01 am			Other					
By (date and time)	November 20	<u>), 2024, 11:59 pm</u>	By (date) November 13, 2024					
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: A petition was received requesting the Board amend pronoun usage throughout WAC 196. The pronouns (he/she, him/her, himself/herself) were amended to be inclusive and modern grammatically correct (they, them, themselves). This proposal was originally filed using the expedited rulemaking process, however, the Board received several objections to the process. Reasons supporting proposal: These changes are minor, without changing the effect of the rules, and they support the								
Board's interest in being compliant with State inclusion policies								
Statutory authority for adoption: RCW 18.43.035, RCW 18.210.050 and RCW 18.210.060								
Statute being implemented: RCW 18.43 and RCW 18.210								
Is rule necessary because of a:								
Federal Law?					🗆 Yes	🖾 No		
Federal Court Decision?					Yes	🖾 No		
State Court Deci If yes, CITATION:	ision?				□ Yes	🛛 No		

	ent: (person or organizationent: 🛛 Private. 🗆 Public.					
Name of agency personnel responsible for:						
	Name	Office Location	Phone			
Drafting	Shanan Gillespie	605 11 th Ave SE #201 Olympia WA 98507	360-664-1570			
Implementation	Ken Fuller	605 11 th Ave SE #201 Olympia WA 98507	360-664-1575			
Enforcement	Ken Fuller	605 11 th Ave SE #201 Olympia WA 98507	360-664-1575			
Is a school distr If yes, insert state	-	nt required under <u>RCW 28A.305.135</u> ?	🗆 Yes 🛛 No			
The public ma Name Addres Phone Fax TTY Email Other		ool district fiscal impact statement by contacting:				
	analysis required under	RCW 34.05.328?				
Name Addres Phone Fax TTY Email Other ⊠ No: Plea agencies to w	s ase explain: The Board of R hich RCW 34.05.328 applie	es pursuant to RCW 34.05.328(5)(a).	eyors is not one of the			
		ness Economic Impact Statement Innovation and Assistance (ORIA) provides support in	completing this part.			
(1) Identification This rule proposa chapter 19.85 RC	n of exemptions: al, or portions of the proposi	al, may be exempt from requirements of the Regulato tion on exemptions, consult the <u>exemption guide publis</u>	ry Fairness Act (see			
adopted solely to	conform and/or comply wit le is being adopted to confo	posal, is exempt under <u>RCW 19.85.061</u> because this rest th federal statute or regulations. Please cite the specific orm or comply with, and describe the consequences to	c federal statute or			
This rule prop		posal, is exempt because the agency has completed th notice of this proposed rule.	ne pilot rule process			

This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt under <u>RCW 19.85.025(3)</u> . Check all that apply:							
	□ <u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(b)		<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(e)				
	(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)				
	<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(c)		<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(f)				
	(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)				
\boxtimes	⊠ <u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(d)		<u>RCW 34.05.310</u> (4)(g)				
	(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process				
			requirements for applying to an agency for a license or permit)				
This rule	proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt u	under <u>R(</u>	CW 19.85.025(4). (Does not affect small businesses).				
This rule	proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exempt a	under RO	CW				
Explanation of how the above exemption(s) applies to the proposed rule: RCW 34.05.310(4)(d) applies because amendments clarify language without changing its effect: WAC 196-09, WAC 196-23, WAC 196-33-400, and WAC 196-34-115 Qualifying activities							
• •	f exemptions: Check one.						
			entified above apply to all portions of the rule proposal.				
		,	exemptions identified above apply to portions of the rule				
· ·	proposal, but less than the entire rule proposal. Provide details here (consider using <u>this template from ORIA</u>):						
(3) Small business economic impact statement: Complete this section if any portion is not exempt.							
If any portion of the proposed rule is not exempt , does it impose more-than-minor costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?							
□ No Briefly summarize the agency's minor cost analysis and how the agency determined the proposed rule did not impose more-than-minor costs.							
Yes Calculations show the rule proposal likely imposes more-than-minor cost to businesses and a small business economic impact statement is required. Insert the required small business economic impact statement here:							
The public may obtain a copy of the small business economic impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by contacting:							
Name							
Address							
Phone							
Fax TTY							
	Y nail						
	her						
Date: Octob		Signatu	re:				
Name: Ken Fuller			Kantal				
Title: Director							